| Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 10/764,784   | CONNELLY, MICHAEL P.   |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Andrew Kim   | 3714   |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P  | TO personnel):   |  |
| (1) <u>Andrew Kim</u> .   | (3) <i>John Dahl</i>   | •  |
| (2) <u>John Hotaling</u> .  | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>03 October 2007</u> .   |  |  |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant  | 2)⊠ applicant's representa   | ative]   |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:   | e)⊠ No.  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1.  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Ishibashi</u> .   |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached   | l. g)☐ was not reached. h)[  | ⊠ N/A.   |
| Substance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: See Continuation She  |  | d to if an agreement was   |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  | no copy of the amendments th   |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | the last Office action has alre<br>ER OF ONE MONTH OR THI<br>INTERVIEW SUMMARY FOF | ady been filed, APPLICANT IS<br>RTY DAYS FROM THIS<br>RM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO |
|   |  |  |
| •   | •  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  | JOHN M. HOTALING   |
|   |  | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER<br>TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700                            |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  | Examiner's   | signature, if required   |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The examiners and applicant's representatives discussed claim 1 with respect to the term "representation of the physical location of a game element" and what may overcome the Ishibashi reference The applicant's response will be given full consideration upon receipt.